



## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/04/2002

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/364,375	07/30/1999	RONEN CHAYAT	INTL-0151-US	9363
7:	590 12/04/2002			
TIMOTHY N TROP			EXAMINER	
TROP PRUNER HU & MILES P O BOX 8554			CANGIALOSI, SALVATORE A	
KATY FREEWAY STE 100 HOUSTON, TX 77024			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2661	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

M

				-e				
,		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/364,375	CHAYAT, RONE	N				
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Salvatore Cangialo						
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport	pears on the cover sl	neet with the correspondence ac	ddress				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however ly within the statutory minimu will apply and will expire SIX e, cause the application to be	may a reply be timely filed  m of thirty (30) days will be considered time (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this of the come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24	October 1999 .						
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-final						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
· _	ion of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
_	Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected.							
7)∐	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		<b>.</b>					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/c ion Papers	or election requireme	nt.					
· · · _	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
·	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce		to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	•					
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)☐ approved l	o) disapproved by the Examir	ier.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U	.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a list	ireau (PCT Rule 17.	2(a)).	Stage				
14) 🗌 A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 L	J.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisiona	al application).				
	)  The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	• •						
Attachmen		, ,	<b>55</b>					
2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) 🔲 No	erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No tice of Informal Patent Application (PT ner:					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Serial Number: 09/364,375 -2-

Art Unit: 2661

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

6. Claims 1-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Petersen et al, Akhtar or Blocking et al in view or either DeGolia, Jr. or Lockart et al.

Regarding claims 1 and 13 Petersen et al (See Figs 6 and 9, and claims 1-20), Akhtar(See Figs. 2 and 7 and cols. 2 and 3) or Blocking et al (See Fig. 2, and claims 1-10) discloses a method and apparatus for transmitting packets of different types with different priorities substantially as claimed. Note that realtime data takes precedence over non real time data, voice over data and quality of service higher over lower priority and that the priority of service class is implicit in the IP protocols. The differences between the above and the claimed

Serial Number: 09/364,375 -3-

Art Unit: 2661

invention is specific priority assigned to security packets. DeGolia, Jr. (See Fig. 3. and claim 12 and Col. 4, lines 30-40) or Lockart et al (See Figs. 1-4) show security packets in a quality of service environment taking more time due to their increased size and mathematical complexity. Note that the Public Key encryption standard requires 1024 bits for the key space and employed in the transmission of most credit card numbers through the internet and thus uses more bandwidth due to its size and more time due to its mathematical complexity. It would have been obvious to the person having ordinary skill in this art to provide a similar arrangement for Petersen et al, Akhtar or Blocking et al because it is conventional and standard practice to employ a lower priority for the more complex and more lengthy packet because secure packets require greater precision due to unrecoverability of the key employed if even a few bits are in error and these components are no more than the conventional equivalents of what is disclosed in the primary items of evidence. The deficiencies of the art with respect to some of the dependent claims deal with the conventional secure communication protocols.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Salvatore Cangialosi at telephone number (703) 305-1837. If

-4-

Art Unit: 2661

attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Douglas Olms, can be reached at (703) 305-4703.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patent and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to (703)872-9314(for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park

II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, Virginia, Sixth

Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

SALVATORE CANGIALOSI PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 222